


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA



Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
 v.) Criminal No. 1:07CR209
)
 WILLIAM J. JEFFERSON,) Hon. T.S. Ellis, III
)
 Defendant.)

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

WE, THE JURY, FIND:

1. We, the jury, find by a preponderance of the evidence that **funds on deposit in Dryades Savings Bank account number *****4467**, in the name of The ANJ Group, L.L.C.:

a. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of conspiracy to commit bribery and/or wire fraud (Count 1):

Yes No

If yes, then what amount:

All up to \$456,800

OR

A lesser amount: \$ 449,300

b. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of bribery (Counts 3-4):

Yes No

If yes, then what amount:

All up to \$456,800

OR

A lesser amount: \$ 449,300

c. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of wire fraud (Counts 6, 7, and 10):

Yes No

If yes, then what amount:

All up to \$456,800

OR

A lesser amount: \$ 59,300

d. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the racketeering activity in violation of Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act (Count 16):

Yes No

If yes, then what amount:

All up to \$456,800

OR

A lesser amount: \$ 449,300

2. We, the jury, find by a preponderance of the evidence that **funds on deposit in Liberty Bank, account number ****0521**, in the name of B.E.P. Consulting Services, LLC:

a. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of conspiracy to commit bribery and/or wire fraud (Count 2):

Yes No

If yes, then what amount:

All up to \$21,353.47

OR

A lesser amount: \$ _____

b. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the racketeering activity in violation of Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act (Count 16):

Yes No

If yes, then what amount:

All up to \$21,353.47

OR

A lesser amount: \$ _____

3. We, the jury, find by a preponderance of the evidence that all **30,775,000 shares of Class A stock in iGate, Incorporated**, a company incorporated under the laws of the State of Indiana, and issued to The ANJ Group, L.L.C.:

a. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of conspiracy to commit bribery and/or wire fraud (Count 1):

Yes No

b. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of bribery (Count 3):

Yes No

c. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of wire fraud (Counts 6, 7, and 10):

Yes No

d. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the racketeering activity in violation of Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act (Count 16):

Yes No

4. We, the jury, find by a preponderance of the evidence that all **1,500,000 shares of stock in W2-IBBS, Limited**, a company organized under the laws of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, and issued to Global Energy & Environmental Services LLC:

a. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of conspiracy to commit bribery and/or wire fraud (Count 1):

Yes No

b. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of bribery (Counts 3-4):

Yes No

c. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of wire fraud (Counts 6, 7, and 10):

Yes No

d. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the racketeering activity in violation of Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act (Count 16):

Yes No

5. We, the jury, find by a preponderance of the evidence that all **1,500,000 shares of stock in International Broad Band Services, LLC**, a company organized under the laws of the Republic of Ghana, and issued to Global Energy & Environmental Services LLC:

a. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of conspiracy to commit bribery and/or wire fraud (Count 1):

Yes No

b. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of bribery (Counts 3-4):

Yes No

c. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of wire fraud (Counts 6, 7, and 10):

Yes No

d. constitutes, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the racketeering activity in violation of Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act (Count 16):

Yes No

6. We, the jury, find by a preponderance of the evidence that all **600 shares of stock in Multi-Media Broad Band Services, Inc.**, a company incorporated in the state of Delaware and issued to The ANJ Group, L.L.C.:

a. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of conspiracy to commit bribery and/or wire fraud (Count 1):

Yes No

b. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of bribery (Counts 3-4):

Yes No

c. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the offense of wire fraud (Counts 6, 7, and 10):

Yes No

d. constitute, or were derived from, the proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of the racketeering activity in violation of Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act (Count 16):

Yes No

SO SAY WE ALL.

Signed and dated at the United States Courthouse, Alexandria, Virginia, this 6 day of

August, 2009.

For